

JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

January 17, 2014

Stan Dobbs, Superintendent Hayward Unified School District 24411 Amador Street Hayward, CA 94544

Dear Mr. Dobbs:

The State Controller's Office reviewed the costs claimed by Hayward Unified School District for the legislatively mandated Collective Bargaining Program (Chapter 961, Statutes of 1975; and Chapter 1213, Statutes of 1991) for the period of July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012. We conducted our review under the authority of Government Code sections 12410, 17558.5, and 17561. Our review was limited to ensuring that direct and indirect costs were properly reported in accordance with program requirements.

The district claimed \$234,749 for the mandated program. Our review found that \$107,540 is allowable and \$127,209 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district did not report any Winton Act base-year costs and did not claim indirect costs on contract services, as described in the attached Summary of Program Costs and the Findings and Recommendations. The State paid the district \$10,350. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$97,190, contingent upon available appropriations.

We informed Luci Rogers, Director of Business Support Services, of the review findings via email on December 16, 2013. We did not receive a response from the district.

If you disagree with the review findings, you may file an Incorrect Reduction Claim (IRC) with the Commission on State Mandates (CSM). The IRC must be filed within three years following the date that we notify you of a claim reduction. You may obtain IRC information at the CSM's website at www.csm.ca.gov/docs/IRCForm.pdf.

If you have any questions, please contact Jim L. Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, by phone at (916) 323-5849.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/kw

Attachments

RE: S14-MCC-948

cc: Luci Rogers, Director, Business Support Services

Hayward Unified School District

Sonia Elgar, Accounting Manager

Hayward Unified School Disrtict

Jeff Potter, Executive Director

District Advisory Services

Alameda County Office of Education

Peter Foggiato, Director, School Fiscal Services Division

California Department of Education

Carol Bingham, Senior Fiscal Policy Advisor

Government Affairs Division

California Department of Education

Thomas Todd, Assistant Program Budget Manager

Education Systems Unit, California Department of Finance

Jay Lal, Manager

Division of Accounting and Reporting

State Controller's Office

Attachment 1— Summary of Program Costs July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Review		Review Adjustment		Reference ¹
July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009							
Direct costs: Component activities G1 through G3: Salaries and benefits Contract services	\$	27,381 1,320	\$	27,381 1,320	\$	_ 	
Subtotal Less base-year direct costs adjusted by the implicit price deflator		28,701 —		28,701 (42,213)		(42,213)	Finding 1
Subtotal Adjustment to eliminate negative balance		28,701 —		(13,512) 13,512		(42,213) 13,512	
Increased direct costs, G1 through G3		28,701				(28,701)	
Component activities G4 through G7: Salaries and benefits		7,408		7,408	. <u></u>		
Increased direct costs, G4 through G7		7,408		7,408			
Total increased direct costs, G1 through G7 Indirect costs		36,109 1,597		7,408 340	. <u></u>	(28,701) (1,257)	Findings 1, 2
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	37,706		7,748 (4,667)	\$	(29,958)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	3,081			
July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010							
Direct costs: Component activities G1 through G3: Salaries and benefits Contract services	\$	3,979 54,131	\$	3,979 54,131	\$		
Subtotal Less base-year direct costs adjusted by the implicit price deflator		58,110		58,110 (42,680)		(42,680)	Finding 1
Increased direct costs, G1 through G3		58,110		15,430		(42,680)	
Component activities G4 through G7: Salaries and benefits Contract services		3,949 10,220		3,949 10,220		_ 	
Increased direct costs, G4 through G7		14,169		14,169			
Total increased direct costs, G1 through G7 Indirect costs		72,279 331		29,599 1,234	. <u></u>	(42,680) 903	Findings 1, 2
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	72,610		30,833 (5,683)	\$	(41,777)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	25,150			

Attachment 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Review			Review djustment	Reference ¹
July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011							
Direct costs: Component activities G1 through G3: Salaries and benefits Contract services Travel and training	\$	11,510 60,565 1,522	\$	11,510 60,565 1,522	\$	_ _ 	
Subtotal Less base-year direct costs adjusted by the implicit price deflator		73,597 —		73,597 (43,681)		(43,681)	Finding 1
Increased direct costs, G1 through G3		73,597	_	29,916	_	(43,681)	
Component activities G4 through G7: Salaries and benefits Contract services		535 22,464	_	535 22,464		_ 	
Increased direct costs, G4 through G7		22,999		22,999			
Total increased direct costs, G1 through G7 Indirect costs		96,596 554		52,915 2,159		(43,681) 1,605	Findings 1, 2
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	97,150		55,074 —	\$	(42,076)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	55,074			
July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012							
Direct costs: Component activities G1 through G3: Salaries and benefits Contract services Travel and training Subtotal	\$	8,723 1,890 2,605 13,218	\$	8,723 1,890 2,605 13,218	\$	_ 	
Less base-year direct costs adjusted by the implicit price deflato	r	15,216		(45,158)		(45,158)	Finding 1
Subtotal Adjustment to eliminate negative balance		13,218		(31,940) 31,940		(45,158) 31,940	
Increased direct costs, G1 through G3		13,218				(13,218)	
Component activities G4 through G7: Salaries and benefits Materials and supplies Contract services Travel and training		7,564 366 5,495 44		7,564 366 5,495 44		_ _ _ 	
Increased direct costs, G4 through G7		13,469		13,469			
Total increased direct costs, G1 through G7 Indirect costs		26,687 596		13,469 416		(13,218) (180)	Findings 1, 2
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$	27,283		13,885	\$	(13,398)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid			\$	13,885			

Attachment 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Review	Review Adjustment	Reference ¹
Summary: July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012				
Total increased direct costs, G1 through G7 Indirect costs	\$ 231,671 3,078	\$ 103,391 4,149	\$ (128,280) 1,071	
Total program costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 234,749	107,540 (10,350)	\$ (127,209)	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$ 97,190		

3 of 3

 $^{^{1}\,}$ See Attachment 2, Findings and Recommendations.

Attachment 2— Findings and Recommendations July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012

BACKGROUND

The current findings are the result of our review of the mandated cost claims filed for the legislatively mandated Collective Bargaining Program for the period of July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012.

In 1975, the State enacted the Rodda Act (Chapter 961, Statutes of 1975), requiring the employer and employee to meet and negotiate, thereby creating a collective bargaining atmosphere for public school employers. The legislation created the Public Employment Relations Board to issue formal interpretations and rulings regarding collective bargaining under the Rodda Act. In addition, the legislation established organizational rights of employees and representational rights of employee organizations, and recognized exclusive representatives related to collective bargaining.

On July 17, 1978, the Board of Control (now the Commission on State Mandates [CSM]) determined that the Rodda Act imposed a state mandate upon school districts reimbursable under Government Code section 17561.

Chapter 1213, Statutes of 1991, added Government Code section 3547.5. This section requires school districts to publicly disclose major provisions of a collective bargaining effort before the agreement becomes binding. On August 20, 1998, the CSM determined that this legislation also imposed a state mandate upon school districts reimbursable under Government Code section 17561.

Claimants are allowed to claim increased costs. For components G1 through G3, increased costs represent the difference between the current-year Rodda Act activities and the base-year Winton Act activities (generally, fiscal year [FY] 1974-75), as adjusted by the implicit price deflator. For components G4 through G7, increased costs represent actual costs incurred.

The program's parameters and guidelines establish the state mandate and define the reimbursement criteria. The CSM adopted the parameters and guidelines on October 22, 1980, and amended them ten times, most recently on January 29, 2010.

In compliance with Government Code section 17558, the State Controller's Office (SCO) issues claiming instructions to assist school districts in claiming mandated program reimbursable costs.

FINDING 1— Unreported Winton Act base-year direct costs, and related indirect costs

The district did not report any Winton Act direct costs on its mandated cost claims for FY 2008-09 through FY 2011-12. Specifically, the district did not offset the Winton Act base-year costs against the current-year Rodda Act costs for components G1 through G3, thus understating the Winton Act base-year costs by \$173,732 for the review period. Unallowable related indirect costs total \$5,288.

The following table summarizes the unreported Winton Act base-year cost adjustment by fiscal year:

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Total
Winton Act base-year costs, FY 1997-98 Implicit price deflator (IPD)	\$ (9,531) × 4.429	. (/ /	. (/ /		
Winton Act base-year costs adjusted by the IPD Less reported Winton Act base-year costs	(42,213)	(42,680)	(43,681)	(45,158)	\$ (173,732) —
Unreported Winton Act base-year costs adjusted by the IPD Related indirect cost adjustment	(42,213) (1,318)	(42,680) (1,780)		(45,158) (408)	(173,732) (5,288)
Review adjustment	\$ (43,531)	\$ (44,460)	\$ (45,463)	\$ (45,566)	\$ (179,020)

The parameters and guidelines (Section H. Supporting Data for Claims – Report Format for Submission of Claim) state:

- a. For component activities G1, G2, and G3:
- Determination of the "increased costs" for each of these three components requires the costs of current year Rodda Act activities to be offset [reduced] by the cost of the base-year Winton Act activities. The Winton Act base-year is generally fiscal year 1974-75.

Winton Act base-year costs are adjusted by the Implicit Price Deflator prior to offset against the current year Rodda Act costs for these three components. The Implicit Price Deflator shall be listed in the annual claiming instructions of the State Controller.

The Winton Act base-year costs were obtained from the FY 1997-98 claim the district submitted to the SCO's Division of Accounting and Reporting. The implicit price deflator (IPD) is reported in the SCO's annual claiming instructions.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district ensure that all Winton Act base-year costs are adjusted by the IPD, as listed in the SCO's annual claiming instructions, and are properly offset against the district's current-year Rodda Act direct costs claimed.

FINDING 2— Unclaimed indirect costs on contract services

The district did not claim indirect costs on contract services for FY 2008-09 through FY 2011-12, resulting in an understatement of \$6,359. We determined allowable indirect costs by multiplying allowable contract services by the indirect cost rates claimed by the district, which agreed to the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education (CDE).

The parameters and guidelines allow indirect cost rates provisionally approved by the CDE. The CDE indirect cost rates apply to total direct costs (salaries and benefits, materials and supplies, and contract services). The error occurred because the district followed the claiming instructions identified on Form 1 for the Collective Bargaining Program that inadvertently excluded contract services from the calculation of indirect costs. The Collective Bargaining Program claiming instructions have since been corrected.

The following table summarizes the calculation of unclaimed indirect costs on contract services by fiscal year:

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11		2011-12		 Total
Allowable contract services Claimed indirect cost rate	\$	1,320 4.59%	\$	64,351 4.17%	\$	83,029 4.08%	\$	7,385 3.09%	
Review adjustment	\$	61	\$	2,683	\$	3,387	\$	228	\$ 6,359

Recommendation

We recommend that the district follow the updated guidance in the claiming instructions for calculating indirect costs on contract services.